Cleavage surfaces of the BaFe$_{2-x}$Co$_x$As$_2$ and Fe$_y$Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ superconductors: A combined STM plus LEED study
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We elucidate the termination surface of cleaved single crystals of the BaFe$_{2-x}$Co$_x$As$_2$ and Fe$_y$Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ families of the high-temperature iron-based superconductors. By combining scanning tunneling microscopic data with low-energy electron diffraction we prove that the termination layer of the BaFe$_{2}$As$_{2}$ systems is a remnant of the Ba layer, which exhibits a complex diversity of ordered and disordered structures. The observed surface topographies and their accompanying superstructure reflections in electron diffraction depend on the cleavage temperature. In stark contrast, Fe$_y$Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ possesses only a single termination structure—that of the tetragonally ordered Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ layer.
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Scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy (STM/STS) and angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) are powerful and direct probes of the electronic states of solids and are making important contributions to our understanding of the iron pnictide superconductors.\textsuperscript{1–3} Determination of the termination surface of the cleaved single crystals studied is a prerequisite for meaningful application of STM/STS and ARPES, as is clarification whether possible departures from the bulk structure and coordination at the surface have a significant effect on the near-surface electronic states. A recent hard x-ray photoemission study\textsuperscript{4} of room-temperature cleaved BaFe$_{2}$As$_{2}$ (or Ba122) showed that the surface supports electronic states similar to those in the bulk, underpinning the relevance and reliability of surface sensitive techniques in the investigation of the pnictide superconductors. Low-temperature STM of room-temperature cleaved BaFe$_{1.86}$Co$_{0.14}$As$_{2}$ also showed no correlation between the spatial superconducting gap variation and the surface topography.\textsuperscript{9} However, studies on low-temperature (<80 K) cleaved samples report a correlation between features in the electronic spectra and the structure of the termination of the crystal,\textsuperscript{5,6} highlighting the role of the cleavage temperature. In the cuprate superconductors, we know that macroscopic departures from the simple lattice structure such as the incommensurate modulation in Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ can have a pronounced effect on the spectroscopic data, leading to diffraction replicas in ARPES which can complicate matters considerably.\textsuperscript{7} In the pnictide superconductors, the existence of diffraction replicas in ARPES data has already been pointed out.\textsuperscript{6}

Previous STM/STS studies of cleaved single crystals of the 122-based family of superconductors\textsuperscript{5,6,8,9} either suggested or assumed that on average half of the alkaline-earth ions (depending on the system involved) remain on each side of the cleave (see\textsuperscript{10} for a figure of the crystal structure). Recent STM and low-energy electron-diffraction (LEED) studies, however, have concluded that the atomic contrast seen in STM of both Ba122 and Sr122 is from inequivalent As sites due to the spin-density wave in the underlying Fe plane and that there is no cleavage temperature dependence.\textsuperscript{11,12} Obviously, the fundamental importance of the surface termination question and the contrasting reports make it imperative to resolve these issues. Here, we present temperature dependent LEED analyses of cleaved BaFe$_{1.86}$Co$_{0.14}$As$_{2}$ single crystals, together with an extensive STM topographic database on this system obtained from cleavage both at low and high temperature. Comparison to the Fe$_1$–Se$_1$–Te$_x$ system which has the same FePn building block (where Pn = As, Se, or Te) as Ba122 but lacks the interstitial Ba layer, consequently enables us to isolate the Ba contribution to the cleavage surface in the 122 systems.

Single crystals of BaFe$_{1.86}$Co$_{0.14}$As$_{2}$ and Fe$_{1.07}$Se$_{1.45}$Te$_{0.55}$ were grown in a self flux, having superconducting $T_c$’s of 22 ± 0.5 K and 11 ± 1 K, respectively, determined from resistivity and AC susceptibility measurements. Cleavage took place both at low (<80 K) and room temperature at a pressure better than 5 × 10^{-10} mbar directly before insertion into the STM head, where the samples were cooled to 4.2 K. LEED was performed \textit{in situ} after each STM survey to obtain the azimuthal orientation of the crystal. The temperature dependent LEED experiments took place in a different vacuum system at a pressure of 7 × 10^{-11} mbar using identical crystals as those studied using STM but cleaved at <25 K.

Figure 1 shows typical STM topographs obtained for low-temperature cleaves of BaFe$_{1.86}$Co$_{0.14}$As$_{2}$. In Fig. 1(a) the topography combines regions with very little contrast with striplike structures, the latter being very clear in Fig. 1(b). This type of 2 × 1 or stripe feature has been observed previously both in the superconducting and parent 122 compound.\textsuperscript{5,8,11} Furthermore, the data clearly show that the stripes possess phase shifts of half a unit (perpendicular to the stripes), which results in a ribcagelike topography, in which the phase-shift lines correspond to the backbone [marked with an arrow in Fig. 1(b)]. The inset shows a Fourier transform of the topographic image exhibiting dominant spots corresponding to the stripe/ribcage structures with a period of 8 Å (arrow) as well as weaker tetragonal unit-cell spots (marked by circles). We note that the predominant stripe orientation is perfectly along the lattice and roughly perpendicular to the direction in which cleavage was performed. A third type of topographic situation is that shown in Fig. 1(c); with larger (~20 Å wide), one dimensional rodlike features displaying a relatively large corrugation of approximately 1.5 Å. Completing
our topographic survey of low-\(T\) cleaved crystals, Fig. 1(d) shows a large field of view in which two previously mentioned structures [seen in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] can be seen to smoothly cross over. Interestingly, Figs. 1(a) and 1(d) show that the backbone features often terminate on pinning centers taking the form of a very bright or dark spot. Finally, we note that the linescan shown in Fig. 1(d) lacks steps corresponding to interplane distances (i.e., of order 1.4 Å and larger), thus indicating that the majority of the observed structures form part of the same crystallographic plane.

We now go on to show that room-temperature cleavage results in quite a different set of constant current images of which four frequently occurring types are shown in Fig. 2. The top left panel shows a highly disordered cleavage surface layer,\(^9\) whereby the most frequent separation is \(\sim 8\) Å. Figure 2(b) shows a very clear \(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}\) topography with a period of 5.5 Å, cut by meandering antiphase domain walls ("black rivers" in the topograph). Figure 2(c) displays one of the more exotic cleavage surface structures encountered: a two-dimensional network of stripes and dots, with a relatively large corrugation. Lastly, a diffuse mazelike landscape without atomic resolution, seen both on superconducting Ba122 and the parent compound,\(^{8}\) is shown in Fig. 2(d) and has also been reported in other studies.\(^{6}\)

We now turn our attention to \(\text{Fe}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}\text{Te}_c\). For this system, both low- and high-temperature cleavage yield—without exception—identical results, in sharp contrast with the great variety of topographies observed in the Ba122 case. Figure 3 shows a typical constant current image, in this case for a room-\(T\) cleave. Very clear atomic resolution is obtained over the entire field of view, with a total corrugation of less than 2 Å and a periodicity of 3.9 Å corresponding to the \((\text{Se,Te})-(\text{Se,Te})\) distance. Clearly, no reconstruction is present on these surfaces, the simple tetragonal lattice being the only coherent structural pattern. The Fourier transform in the inset of Fig. 3(a) emphasizes this: only tetragonal spots, also as higher harmonics, are observed. An interesting feature of the \(\text{Fe}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}\text{Te}_c\) topographs is the occurrence of bright blobs on the field of view on top of which the atomic lattice is imaged. Their spatial abundance matches perfectly the excess \(\text{Fe}\) content (7%) in the crystals, and thus this seems a highly plausible explanation for these features.

To summarize the STM results, a large variety of cleavage

FIG. 1. (Color online) Constant current images for cleavage of \(\text{BaFe}_{1.82}\text{Co}_{0.14}\text{As}_2\) at low temperature (\(< 80\) K). (a) and (b) are taken on the same cleave using a junction resistance \(R_1 = 2.4\) G\(\Omega\), (c) and (d) on a different cleave \((R_2 = 0.65\) G\(\Omega\)). Inset of (b): Fourier transform of (b) highlighting the unit-cell spots (circles) and \(2 \times 1\) reconstruction spot (arrow). All topographic types shown have been observed on numerous cleaves. Line scans along the paths indicated are shown below each panel. The insets to (c) and (d) show enlargements of size 189 Å. Axes indicate the crystallographic orientation as determined from LEED.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Constant current images for various cleaves of \(\text{BaFe}_{1.80}\text{Co}_{0.14}\text{As}_2\) at room temperature \((R_f = 0.75\) G\(\Omega\)). Line scans along the paths indicated are shown below each panel. The insets to (c) and (d) show enlargements of size 189 Å. Axes indicate the crystallographic orientation as determined from LEED.
surface termination structures is observed in the BaFe_{1.86}Co_{0.14}As_{2} compound, which possess a strong cleavage temperature dependence. In contrast, the Fe_{y}Se_{1−x}Te_{x} system, which lacks the interstitial Ba layer, has only a single type of topography, independent of cleavage temperature.

Figure 4 shows LEED data with E_{0}=110 eV. In Fig. 4(a), the low-temperature cleavage surface (17 K) of the Ba122 superconductor gives rise to spots very clearly at positions other than those originating from the tetragonal unit cell of either Ba or As. Spots corresponding to both \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) and \( 2 \times 1 \) reconstructions in both a and b directions of the crystal are present. In the STM topographs of Fig. 1, we clearly image the \( 2 \times 1 \) structures as the basic unit forming the ribcages. However, under our imaging conditions, the \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) structures seen clearly in the high-\( T \) cleave do not generate sufficient contrast in the low-\( T \) cleaved data of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). For very low junction resistances, the \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) topography has been imaged directly in the STM of low-\( T \) cleaved crystals of the parent compound Ba122 in Ref. 11. Returning to the LEED data, upon increasing the temperature, all the nontetragonal LEED spots start to lose intensity above 100 K, and by reaching 200 K, only the tetragonal reflections remain [Fig. 4(b)]. More importantly, on recooling the sample back to 17 K, none of the nontetragonal spots reappear. The main spots, however, become more diffuse once the cleave is or has been at higher temperature, signaling increased surface disorder or the presence of structurally incoherent reconstructions. Having presented the LEED data for BaFe_{1.86}Co_{0.14}As_{2}, we now show a typical LEED pattern from the Fe_{y}Se_{1−x}Te_{x} compound cleaved and measured at low temperature in Fig. 4(c). Not surprisingly, since the STM images only show the tetragonal atomic lattice, none of the extra LEED spots appearing in the Ba122 case are present in the Fe_{y}Se_{1−x}Te_{x} data.

Returning to the LEED data from the iron arsenide system, tracking the intensity of the main (tetragonal) spots seen in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) results in I(V) curves which follow the theoretical curves for diffraction from the As layer reported in Fig. 4a of Ref. 11. This agreement between our data with LEED theory confirms that the outermost complete layer after cleavage consists of As atoms.11 However, and importantly, the LEED data from the low-temperature cleaves of BaFe_{1.86}Co_{0.14}As_{2} and the parent compound BaFe_{2}As_{2} (data not shown) clearly show \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})_{\text{tetragonal}} \) spots, in contrast to the data of Ref. 11. The I(V) trace of our \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})_{\text{tetragonal}} \) spots [see Fig. 4(d)] is very different to both the experimental and theoretical I(V) curves in Ref. 11, possessing peaks and minima at totally different energy values. The mismatch between our experiment and the theory in Ref. 11 rules out the As layer as the origin of our extra LEED spots, leaving the partially ordered Ba atom overlayer as the obvious candidate to explain the \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})_{\text{tetragonal}} \) spots in LEED and the topographic features seen in STM with periods greater than the tetragonal repeat unit of 3.9 Å. A surprising observation in the STM topograph of Fig. 2(b) is the clear \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) lattice on the surface of a high-temperature cleaved sample, whereas the corresponding \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})_{\text{tetragonal}} \) spots in LEED have ceased to be observable above the background upon warming up the sample. At this point, we mention that theoretical calculations predict that the \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) (or checkerboard) reconstructed surface is the most energetically favorable ordered distribution of the remaining half of the Ba atoms on either side of the cleave.13 One approach to understand why the \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) LEED spots disappear at higher temperature is offered by consideration of the dislocation networks seen in the STM topographic data in the high-temperature cleaved surfaces [the “black rivers” seen in Fig. 2(b)], which are absent in the low-\( T \) cleave topographs. Upon warm cleavage or increase of the sample temperature above ca. 200 K, it is conceivable that fragmentation of the checkerboard order into nanoscopic domains causes the coherence length of the re-
construction to drop sufficiently such that the $\frac{\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}}{1}$ spots fade into the background of the LEED pattern, which itself is the result of a measurement which spatially integrates on a mm length scale.

By now it is clear that the topographical diversity of the cleavage surface of the alkaline-earth-122 material family is due to the alkaline-earth atom overlayer that remains after cleavage. In fact, we can reconcile all our experimental data from the 122 systems within a very simple structural model, based only on differences in the distribution of the Ba atoms within the overlayer that remains on the surface after cleavage. A sketch of the model is shown in Fig. 4(e). When a sample is cleaved at low temperature, the Ba surface atoms are not mobile enough to rearrange themselves into the energetically most favorable structure with metastable situations such as the $2 \times 1$, or ribcage reconstruction as the result. Increasing temperature enables the overlayer atoms to rearrange, thus patches of checkerboard reconstruction are formed separated by domain walls (“black rivers”). Alternatively, more disordered configurations such as those seen in Fig. 2(a) are also possible, depending on the local concentration of Ba atoms. Ordering of the Ba surface atoms in locally densely packed structures surrounded by a relatively Ba-free region could lead to an increased corrugation in STM, matching the rods seen in Fig. 1 and the bright regions in Fig. 2(c). However, these kind of structures are still within the same Ba layer as the locally less densely packed ordered $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ and $2 \times 1$ regions, and thus these different topographies can cross over into one another as indeed has been observed. Evidently, more random distribution of the Ba atoms in the overlayer could lead to topographies as in Figs. 2(a) and 2(d).

In summary, Ba122 exhibits a large variety of topographies and has pronounced cleavage temperature dependence. In LEED, spots corresponding to large scale coherent structures of dimensions larger than the $3.9$ Å tetragonal unit cell, i.e., $2 \times 1$ and $\frac{\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}}{1}$, appear for low-temperature cleaved samples. These spots vanish upon warming the sample to 200 K and do not reappear after cooling back to 17 K. Since superconducting BaFe$_{1.86}$Co$_{0.14}$As$_2$ possesses no spin-density wave at 4.5 K, the $2 \times 1$ and $\frac{\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}}{1}$ topographies in STM cannot be explained by a SDW-driven creation of two distinct As sites, but only by the ordering of the Ba atoms remaining on the surface after cleavage. We propose that the disappearance of the extra spots seen in LEED at higher temperatures is a signal of fragmentation of these structures due to a redistribution of the Ba atoms at the surface into energetically more favorable configurations on a small length scale. These still appear as ordered patterns in STM, yet possess insufficient long-range order to support LEED spots. The longer range order does not re-establish itself upon recooling, thus the nontetragonal spots do not reappear and the tetragonal LEED spots themselves become more diffuse. Our argument that the nontetragonal LEED spots originate from the Ba termination layer is supported by the fact that our experimental LEED I(V) profiles of the tetragonal $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1},\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1}\right)$ spots disagree totally with LEED I(V) calculations in which these features are taken as diffraction from the arsenic plane. In stark contrast to the richness and complexity of the surface topography of the Ba122 family of compounds (and by extrapolation to the other M122 systems, M= Ba, Eu, Sr...), Fe$_x$Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ displays only one type of cleavage surface and LEED pattern without any sign of reconstructions, independent of the cleavage temperature.
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